1	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
3	KNOXVILLE DIVISION
4	
5	KNOX TRAILERS, INC., et al.,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	v. 3:20-CV-137
8	BILLY MAPLES, et al.,
9	Defendants. :
10	Chattanooga, Tennessee November 1, 2022
11	November 1, 2022
12	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE TRAVIS R. MCDONOUGH CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT UUDGE
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
17	JAMES G. CARTER, JR. SHELLEY S. BREEDING
18	EMILY GRACE HARRELL Breeding Olinzock Carter Crippen PC
19	800 S. Gay Street Suite 1200
20	Knoxville, Tennessee 37902
21	
22	
23	
24	JURY TRIAL EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS
25	JURY CHARGE

1	APPEARANCES: (Continuing)
2	
3	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
4	WESLEY EDWARD SHIPE AVERY C. LOVINGFOSS
5	ROBERT CUYLER HASKINS Brock Shipe Klenk PLC
6	265 Brookview Centre Way Suite 604
7	Knoxville, Tennessee 37932
8	CHRIS W. MCCARTY Lewis, Thomason, King, Krieg & Waldrop, P.C.
9	One Centre Square 620 Market Street, 5th Floor
10	Knoxville, Tennessee 37901
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE COURT: Members of the jury, now it is the time for me to instruct you about the law that you must follow in deciding this case. At the start of the case I gave you some guidelines on the applicable law. I will now instruct you on the law that you should use in reaching your verdict.

2.2

I will start by explaining the duties and the general rules that apply in every civil case. Then I will explain some rules that you must use in evaluating particular testimony and evidence. And last I will explain the law relating to the claims made in this case. Please listen to everything -- please listen carefully to -- very carefully to everything that I say.

All of the instructions you are about to be given are equally important. The order in which these instructions are given has no significance. You must follow all of the instructions and not single out some and ignore others.

There is more than one defendant in this lawsuit.

If you find that one defendant is liable, you are not required to return a verdict against all. You will decide each defendant's case separately. Each defendant is entitled to a fair and separate consideration. Unless you are instructed to the contrary, the instructions apply to the facts of each defendant's case.

Some of you may have taken notes during the trial.

Once you retire to the jury room you may refer to your notes,

but only to refresh your own memory of the witnesses'
testimony. You are free to discuss the testimony of the
witnesses with your fellow jurors, but each of you must rely
upon your own individual memory as to what a witness did or
did not say. If your memory should different -- should differ
from your notes, then you should rely on your memory and not
on your notes. In discussing the testimony, you may not read
your notes to your fellow jurors or otherwise tell them what
you have written. You should never use your notes to persuade
or influence other jurors. Your notes are not evidence. Your
notes should carry no more weight than the unrecorded
recollection of another juror.

2.1

2.2

You are to decide this case only from the evidence which was presented at trial. The evidence consists of

(1) the sworn testimony of the witnesses who have testified,

(2) the exhibits that were received and marked as evidence,

and (3) any other matters that I have instructed you to

consider as evidence. If I sustained an objection to any

evidence or if I ordered evidence stricken, that evidence must

be entirely ignored.

You will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult, and it is difficult and time-consuming for the reporter to read back lengthy testimony.

There are two kinds of evidence, direct and

circumstantial. Direct evidence is proof of a fact, such as testimony of a witness about what the witness personally observed. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence that gives you clues about what happened. Circumstantial evidence is proof of a fact or a group of facts that causes you to conclude that another fact exists. It is for you to decide whether a fact has been proved by circumstantial evidence. If you base your decision upon circumstantial evidence, you must be convinced that the conclusion you reach is more probable than any other explanation.

2.2

For example, if a witness testified that the witness saw it raining outside, that would be direct evidence that it was raining. If a witness testified that the witness saw someone enter a room wearing a raincoat covered with drops of water and carrying a wet umbrella, that would be circumstantial evidence from which you could conclude that it was raining. You are to consider both direct and circumstantial evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence. In making your decision you must consider all the evidence in light of reason, experience, and common sense.

Although you must consider all of the evidence, you are not required to accept all of the evidence as true or accurate. You should not decide an issue by the simple

process of counting the number of witnesses who have testified on each side. You must consider all the evidence in the case. You may decide that the testimony of fewer witnesses on one side is more convincing than the testimony of more witnesses on the other side.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Under certain circumstances you may consider the absence of evidence or a witness. You may conclude that the evidence or testimony of the witness would be adverse to that party who failed to offer it only if you find all of the following elements: (1) that it was within the power of a party to offer evidence or produce a witness on an issue in this case but that party has failed to offer the evidence or produce the witness, and (2) the evidence or witness was uniquely under the control of the party and could have been produced by the exercise of reasonable diligence, and (3) the evidence or witness was not equally available to an adverse party or the witness was likely to be biased against an adverse party because of the relationship to the party who would be expected to produce the witness, and (4) the evidence or witness's testimony would not be merely cumulative, and (5) a reasonable person under the same or similar circumstances would have offered the evidence or produced the witness if the evidence of testimony would be favorable, and (6) no reasonable excuse for the failure has been shown. You must find all of these elements before you can conclude that

the evidence or testimony of a witness would be adverse to a party.

2.1

2.2

During the course of the trial you may have heard reference made to the word <u>interrogatory</u>. An interrogatory is a written question that must be answered under oath in writing. You are to consider interrogatories and their answers as if the questions had been asked and answered here in court.

The parties have introduced evidence of certain requests for admissions. If these facts were admitted or not answered, you are to consider the facts to be true since the other party had the opportunity to deny the admission request but did not do so. Additionally you may have heard references to requests for production. In litigation the parties can request production of documents, and the opposing party must respond in writing and must produce responsive documents.

Certain testimony has been presented by deposition.

A deposition is testimony taken under oath before the trial and preserved in writing or on a videotape. You are to consider that testimony as if it had been given in court.

A stipulation is an agreement between both sides that certain facts are true. When the lawyers on both sides stipulate or agree to the existence of a fact, you must, unless otherwise instructed, accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

The parties have stipulated to the following facts that are to be treated by you as proven:

2.1

2.2

- (1) Titan Trailers Repair and Sales, LLC, engages in business activities that are competitive with Knox Trailers, Inc.
- (2) Billy Maples was previously employed by Knox Trailers, Inc., and Post Trailers -- excuse me -- and Post Trailer Repairs, Inc.
- (3) Amanda Maples was previously employed by Post Trailer Repairs, Inc.
- (4) There was a typographical error in the original Exhibit Number 22 showing Steve Fultz's company credit card expenses. El Sazon Mexicano showed \$2588. It should have been \$25.88, and has been corrected. This changed the total charges to \$14,663.69.

The fact that a corporation is a party must not influence you in your deliberations or in your verdict.

Corporations and persons are equal in the eyes of the law.

Both are entitled to the same fair and impartial treatment and to justice by the same legal standards.

You are the sole and exclusive judges of the credibility or believability of the witnesses who have testified in this case. You must decide which witnesses you believe and how important you think their testimony is. You are not required to accept or reject everything a witness

says. You are free to believe all, none, or part of any 1 2 person's testimony. 3 In deciding which testimony you believe, you should 4 rely on your own common sense and everyday experience. is no fixed set of rules to use in deciding whether you 5 6 believe a witness, but it may help you to think about the 7 following questions: (1) Was the witness able to see, hear, or be aware 8 of the things about which the witness testified? 9 10 (2) How well was the witness able to recall and 11 describe those things? (3) How long was the witness watching or listening? 12 13 (4) Was the witness distracted in some way? 14 (5) Did the witness have a good memory? 15 (6) How did the witness look and act while testifying? 16 17 (7) Was the witness making an honest effort to tell 18 the truth, or did the witness evade questions? 19 (8) Did the witness have any interest in the outcome 20 of the case? 2.1 (9) Did the witness have any motive, bias, or 2.2 prejudice that would influence the witness's testimony? 23 (10) How reasonable was the witness's testimony when 24 you consider all of the evidence in the case? 25 (11) Was the witness's testimony contradicted by

what that witness has said or done at another time, by the testimony of other witnesses, or by other evidence?

2.2

The lawyers for both sides objected to some of the things that were said or done during the trial. Do not hold that against either side. The lawyers have a duty to object whenever they think that something is not permitted by the rules of evidence. Those rules are designed to make sure that both sides receive a fair trial. And do not interpret my rulings on their objections as any indication of how I think the case should be decided. My rulings were based on the rules of evidence, not on how I feel about the case. Remember that your decision must be based only on the evidence that you saw and heard here in court. Remember, nothing I have said or done during this trial was meant to influence your decision in any way.

Throughout the trial you heard references to a preliminary injunction I issued on May 21, 2021. You are to make your own decisions on the merits of Knox Trailers' and Post Trailer's claims. You are not to rely on my prior decision. The purpose of a preliminary injunction is simply to preserve the status quo until the parties can have a trial on the merits. The standards used in a preliminary injunction are less demanding and the evidence heard is less complete than at trial. A party is not required to prove its case in full at a preliminary injunction hearing, and the findings

made by a court granting the preliminary injunction are not binding at trial on the merits. The Court's prior decision to issue a preliminary injunction should not influence your decision in this case. You should consider all of the evidence presented at trial and come to your own conclusions on the merits of the plaintiffs' claims.

2.2

An expert witness was asked to assume that certain facts were true and to give an opinion based on that assumption. This is called a hypothetical question. You must determine if any fact assumed by the witness has not been established by the evidence and the effect of that omission, if any, upon the value of the opinion.

You may conclude that a witness deliberately lied about a fact that is important to your decision in the case. If so, you may reject everything that witness said. On the other hand, if you decide that the witness lied about some things but told the truth about others, you may accept the part you decide is true and you may reject the rest.

There may be discrepancies or differences within a witness's testimony or between the testimony of different witnesses. This does not necessarily mean that a witness should be disbelieved. Sometimes when two people observe an event they will see or hear it differently. Sometimes a witness may have an innocent lapse of memory. Witnesses may testify honestly but simply may be wrong about what they

thought they saw or remembered. You should consider whether a discrepancy relates to an important fact or only to an unimportant detail.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Sometimes silence may be an admission. Under certain circumstances you may conclude that a party admitted or agreed with something that was said in that party's presence. Such evidence should be received with caution. For you to draw the conclusion that a party adopted another statement or believed it to be true, you must find all of the following elements: (1) that the party heard and understood the statement, and (2) that there was a reasonable opportunity to reply, and (3) that the party was in such physical and mental condition that the party reasonably could be expected to reply, and (4) that the statement was made under such circumstances that it would normally call for an answer, and (5) that the party failed to respond or made an evasive response to the statement. You must find all of these elements before you can consider the party's silence or evasive answer to be an admission.

Usually witnesses are not permitted to testify as to opinions or conclusions. However, a witness who has scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may be permitted to give testimony in the form of an opinion. Those witnesses are often referred to as expert witnesses. You should determine

the weight that should be given to each expert's opinion and resolve conflicts in the testimony of different expert witnesses. You should consider: (1) the education, qualifications, and experience of the witnesses, and (2) the credibility of the witnesses, and (3) the facts relied upon by the witness -- the witnesses to support the opinions, and (4) the reason -- the reasoning used by the witnesses to arrive at the opinion. You should consider each expert opinion and give it the weight, if any, that you think it deserves. You are not required to accept the opinion of any expert.

2.2

In reaching your verdict you may consider only the evidence that was admitted. Remember that any questions, objections, statements, or arguments made by the attorneys during trial are not evidence. Testimony that you have been instructed to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. If evidence has been received only for a limited purpose, you must follow the limiting instructions I have given you.

You are free to decide the case -- excuse me. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at trial. Although you must only consider the evidence in this case in reaching your verdict, you are not required to set aside your common knowledge. You are permitted to weigh the evidence in the light of your common sense, observations, and

1	experience.
2	In this action Knox Trailers and Post Trailer have
3	the burden of establishing by a preponderance of the evidence
4	the following issues:
5	(1) Billy Maples breached his fiduciary duties to
6	Knox Trailers.
7	(2) Billy Maples and Amanda Maples breached their
8	fiduciary duties to Post Trailer.
9	(3) Titan Trailers and Billy Maples unfairly
LO	competed with Knox Trailers.
L1	(4) Titan Trailers and Billy Maples misappropriated
L2	Knox Trailers' trade secrets.
L3	(5) Titan Trailers and Billy Maples misappropriated
L 4	Post Trailer's trade secrets.
L5	(6) Titan Trailers and Billy Maples converted Knox
L 6	Trailers' property.
L7	(7) Titan Trailers and Billy Maples converted Post
L8	Trailer's property.
L9	(8) Titan Trailers and Billy Maples engaged in a
20	conspiracy against Knox Trailers.
21	And (9) Titan Trailers and Billy Maples engaged in a
22	conspiracy against Post Trailer.
23	The term <u>preponderance</u> of the evidence means that
24	amount of evidence that causes you to conclude that an
25	allegation is probably true. To prove an allegation by a

preponderance of the evidence, a party must convince you that the allegation is more likely true than not true. If the evidence on a particular issue is equally balanced, that issue has not been proven by a preponderance of the evidence and the party having the burden of proving that issue has failed. You must consider all the evidence on each issue.

2.2

A principal can be held responsible for the acts or omissions of the principal's agent. A person who is authorized to act for another person or in place of another person is an agent of that person. A person may be an agent whether or not payment was received for services of the authorized act. For purposes of this case, the term agent includes an employee. The person who authorizes the agent to act is called a principal. For the purposes of this case, the term principal includes an employer.

In order to be considered the act of the principal, the act of the agent must be within the scope of the agent's authority or employment. It is not necessary that a particular act or failure to act be expressly authorized by the principal to bring it within the scope of the agent's authority or employment. Conduct is within the scope of the agent's authority or employment if it occurs while the agent is engaged in the duties that the agent was authorized or employed to perform and if the conduct relates to those duties. Conduct for the benefit of the principal that is

incidental to, customarily connected with, or reasonably necessary to perform an authorized act is within the scope of the agent's authority or employment.

2.2

You are to decide whether the employee was acting within the scope of employment. To determine whether an act or omission occurred within the scope of employment you must weigh and balance the facts and circumstances of this case. Conduct of an employee is within the scope of employment if (a) it is of the kind the employee is employed to perform, (b) it occurs substantially within the authorized time and place limits, and (c) it is motivated at least in part by a purpose to serve the employer. An employee's act is not within the scope of employment if it is different in kind from that authorized, far beyond the authorized time and place limits, or too little motivated by a purpose to serve the employer.

In some circumstances an employer may be liable although the employee's act is not expressly authorized. To determine whether an employee's authorized and unauthorized — let me start over, excuse me. To determine whether an employee's unauthorized conduct is nevertheless so similar to or incidental to the authorized conduct as to be within the scope of employment, you may consider the following matters of fact: (a) whether the act is one commonly done by such employees, (b) the time, place, and purposes of the act,

(c) the previous dealings between the employer and the employee, (d) the extent to which the business of the employer is apportioned between different employees, (e) whether the act is outside the enterprise of the employer or, if within the enterprise, has not been entrusted to any employee, (f) whether the employer has reason to expect such an act will be done, (q) the similarity in quality of the act done to the act authorized, (h) whether the employer has furnished the employee with the instrumentality by which the harm is done, (i) the extent of departure from the normal method of accomplishing an authorized result, and (j) whether the act is seriously criminal. Knox Trailers and Post Trailer claim that Billy Maples and Titan Trailers misappropriated a trade secret owned by Knox Trailers and Post Trailer under both the federal Defend Trade Secrets Act and the Tennessee Uniform Trade Secrets Act. The elements of these claims are substantially To prove each claim, Knox Trailers or Post Trailer the same. must prove the following acts by a preponderance of the evidence: (1) the existence of a trade secret, (2) defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Let me talk to you about the first element, the existence of a trade secret. A trade secret means all forms and types of financial, business, scientific, technical,

misappropriated the trade secret, and (3) the misappropriation

resulted in detriment to Knox Trailers or Post Trailer.

economic, or engineering information that the owner thereof
has taken reasonable measures to keep secret if the
information derives independent economic value, actual or
potential, from not being generally known to and not being
readily ascertainable through proper means by another person
who can obtain economic value from the disclosure or use of
the information. A trade secret may include patterns, plans,
compilations, program devices, formulas, designs, prototypes,
methods, techniques, processes, procedures, programs, or
codes. A trade secret may be tangible or intangible. A trade
secret does not have to be stored, compiled, or memorialized;
but, if it is, it does not have to be stored, compiled, or
memorialized in any particular manner, such as physically,
electronically, graphically, photographically, or in writing.

To prove a trade secret, Knox Trailers or Post

2.1

2.2

To prove a trade secret, Knox Trailers or Post

Trailer must establish the following by a preponderance of the evidence:

- (1) The compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases is not generally known to another person who can obtain economic value from the disclosure or use of the information.
- (2) Another person cannot readily discover the compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases through proper means.
 - (3) The compilation of information stored in the

SouthWare databases derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being known to and not being readily ascertainable through proper means by another person who can obtain economic value from the disclosure or use of the information.

1.3

2.2

And (4) Knox Trailers or Post Trailer has taken reasonable steps to protect the secrecy of the compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases.

All right. The second element, misappropriation.

Knox Trailers and Post Trailer claim that the defendants acquired, disclosed, or used the compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases without the right to do so. This is called misappropriation.

For Knox Trailers or Post Trailer to prove that defendants misappropriated the compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases, Knox Trailers or Post Trailer must prove the following by a preponderance of the evidence:

- (1) Defendants acquired, disclosed, or used the compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases without Knox Trailers's or Post Trailer's express or implied consent.
- (2) Defendant knew or should have known that the compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases

 (a) was derived from or through a third person who used

improper means to acquire the trade secret, (b) was acquired under circumstances giving rise to a duty to maintain the secrecy of the compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases or limit the use of the compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases, or (c) was derived from or through a third person who was under a duty to maintain secrecy of or limit the use of compilation of information stored in the SouthWare databases.

Improper means may include theft, bribery,

2.2

misrepresentation, breach or inducement of a breach of duty to maintain secrecy, and espionage through electronic or other means. Each act of acquiring, disclosing, or using compilation of the information stored in the SouthWare databases may constitute a separate act of misappropriation.

Expressed consent -- express consent, excuse me, is consent that is clearly and unmistakably stated.

Implied consent is consent that is inferred from one's conduct rather than from one's direct expression.

And the third element, detriment to Knox Trailers or Post Trailer. Knox Trailers or Post Trailer must show that the misappropriation resulted in its detriment. Post Trailer does not seek monetary damages for this claim; however, you still must decide whether Post Trailer has met its burden to prove the three elements listed above.

Before this case reached trial, the Court found

Titan Trailers and Billy Maples engaged in the following inappropriate discovery-related conduct: Maples and Titan concealed the USB drive containing the databases, Maples and Titan made misleading statements to the Court, and Maples and Titan made misleading statements to the plaintiffs. You may consider these findings in deciding whether Maples and Titan misappropriated the alleged trade secrets. To the extent plaintiffs can show that the databases are trade secrets, you may infer but are not required to infer that Titan and Maples misappropriated such trade secrets.

2.2

Knox Trailers claims that Billy Maples breached his fiduciary duty of loyalty. Post Trailer claims that Billy Maples and Amanda Maples each breached their fiduciary duty of loyalty. The duty of loyalty requires an employee to act solely for the benefit of the employer in matters within the scope of his or her employment. The employee must not engage in conduct that is adverse to the employer's interests. This includes a duty not to compete with the employer during the employment relationship. The law allows an employee to make preparations to compete if those preparations do not violate this duty of loyalty. An employee may announce his future departure to a competitor but may not solicit customers for the benefit of the competitor.

In order to prevail on its claim against defendants for breach of their fiduciary duty and loyalty as an employee,

plaintiffs must prove each of the following elements by a preponderance of the evidence: (1) an employment relationship existed between a plaintiff and a defendant, (2) a defendant breached his or her duty of loyalty, and (3) a plaintiff was harmed or a defendant benefited because of this breach. You may not consider the alleged misappropriation of the SouthWare database or materials or information from it or other documents from Knox Trailers or Post Trailer in deciding this claim. This claim only involves other alleged acts.

2.2

Knox Trailers brings a claim for unfair competition against Billy Maples and Titan Trailers. To succeed on a claim for unfair competition Knox Trailers must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that: (1) a defendant engaged in conduct that amounts to a recognized tort and (2) that the tort deprived the plaintiff of customers or other prospects. In this case the possible recognized torts that may give rise to a claim for unfair competition are the breach of the fiduciary duty of loyalty or conversion. You may not consider the alleged misappropriation of the SouthWare database or materials or information from it or other documents from Knox Trailers or Post Trailer in deciding this claim. This claim only involves other alleged acts.

Knox Trailers and Post Trailer assert conversion claims against Billy Maples and Titan Trailers. A conversion is any assumption of control over property that is

inconsistent with the rights of the owner. To prove a conversion claim, Knox Trailers or Post Trailer must show by a preponderance of the evidence that a defendant either (1) used or enjoyed the personal property of a plaintiff without the plaintiff's consent or (2) destroyed or intentionally exercised dominion over the property of a plaintiff by excluding or defying the plaintiff's right, or (3) withheld the personal property from a plaintiff under a claim of title inconsistent with the plaintiff's claim of title.

2.1

2.2

another is used in a different manner or for a different purpose or for a longer time than was agreed upon by the parties, the person who received the personal property is guilty of conversion. In that case the person to whom the property is entrusted is answerable for all damages, including a loss that due care could not have prevented. You may not consider the alleged misappropriation of the SouthWare database or materials or information from it or other documents from Knox Trailers or Post Trailer in deciding this claim. This claim only involves other alleged acts.

Knox Trailers and Post Trailer assert claims for civil conspiracy against Billy Maples and Titan Trailers. If you have found that breach of fiduciary duty of loyalty, unfair competition, or conversion was committed by these defendants, then you are to consider whether these defendants

engaged in a civil conspiracy to commit breach of fiduciary duty of loyalty, unfair competition, or conversion.

2.2

For you to find that these defendants engaged in a civil conspiracy, Knox Trailers and Post Trailer must prove by a preponderance of the evidence all of the following: (1) a common design between a defendant and another person, each having the intent and knowledge of the other's intent, (2) to accomplish, by a concerted action, an unlawful purpose or a lawful purpose by an unlawful means, (3) an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy, and (4) resulting injury.

A conspiracy cannot exist between a corporation and its officer, director, employee, or other agent when the conduct is a single act by a corporation acting through its officer, director, employee, or -- and other agent, each acting within the scope of his or her employment. Conduct of a person is within the scope of employment if (a) it is the kind the person is employed to perform, (b) it occurs substantially within the authorized time and place limits, and (c) it is motivated, at least in part, by a purpose to serve the employer. You may not consider the alleged misappropriation of the SouthWare database or materials or information from it or other documents from Knox Trailers or Post Trailer in deciding this claim. This claim only involves other alleged acts.

If under the Court's instructions you find that the

plaintiffs are entitled to damages as a result of defendants' breach of fiduciary duty, unfair competition, conversion, or civil conspiracy, then you must award plaintiffs damages that will reasonably compensate the plaintiffs for claimed loss or harm which has been proven by a preponderance of the evidence, provided you also find it was or will be suffered by the plaintiffs and was legally caused by the act or omission or condition upon which you base your finding of liability.

Compensatory damages do not include Titan Trailers' profit, only the damage suffered by Knox Trailers and Post Trailer.

Further, these damages do not include Knox Trailers' or Post Trailer's lost profit or loss of the business's value.

Rather, these damages include the plaintiffs's lost revenue and extra costs.

2.2

You may not consider the alleged misappropriation of the SouthWare database or materials or information from it or other documents from Knox Trailers or Post Trailer in deciding the breach of fiduciary duty, unfair competition, conversion, and civil conspiracy claims. Rather, the Court has provided categories of damages claimed by plaintiffs for each cause of action in order to direct your consideration of damages.

If under the Court's instructions you find that the defendants misappropriated plaintiffs' trade secrets and you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the plaintiffs were harmed as a result of that misappropriation, you must

award plaintiffs damages for any unjust enrichment caused by the misappropriation of the trade secret that is not addressed in computing damages for actual loss.

2.2

Plaintiffs will not be entitled to duplicative damages and will later elect which damages to recover to avoid double recovery. If you find plaintiffs are entitled to damages on a claim, you should award full damages on that claim. Do not limit your damages amount on one claim due to the same or similar damages awarded on other claims.

In determining an appropriate amount of compensatory damages, you are not to assess an amount reflecting interest. The law allows the plaintiffs to recover prejudgment interest on a compensatory damages award in certain circumstances.

Assuming you find the defendants liable to the plaintiffs, the Court will determine whether to award prejudgment interest at a later date, therefore you should be careful not to make any award for such interest.

The verdict you return to the Court must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order to return a verdict it is necessary that each juror agree to that verdict. Your verdict must be unanimous. It is your duty to consult with one another and to reach an agreement if you can do so without violence to the -- to individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for yourself but do so only after an impartial consideration of the evidence with your fellow

jurors. In the course of your deliberations do not hesitate to reexamine your own views and to change your opinion if you are convinced that it is not correct. But do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

2.2

I have prepared a verdict form for you to use to record your verdict. Finally, ladies and gentlemen, we come to the point where we will discuss the form of your verdict and the process of your deliberations. You will be taking with you to the jury room a verdict form that will reflect your findings. Nothing said in these instructions and nothing in any verdict form prepared for your convenience is meant to suggest or convey in any way or manner any suggestion or hint as to what verdict I think you should find. What the verdict shall be is your sole and exclusive duty and responsibility.

You will be selecting a foreperson after you retire to the jury room. That person will preside over your deliberations and be your spokesperson here in court. When you have completed your deliberations, your foreperson will fill in and sign the verdict form. Your verdict must represent the considered judgment of each of you. In order to return a verdict, it is necessary that each of you agree to that verdict; that is, your verdict must be unanimous. It is your duty as jurors to consult with one another and to

deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement if you can do so without violence to individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for yourself but only after an impartial consideration of the evidence in the case with your fellow jurors.

2.2

In the course of your deliberations do not hesitate to reexamine your own views and change your opinion if convinced it is erroneous. But do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict. Remember at all times that you are not partisans, you are judges, judges of the facts. Your sole interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in this case.

You have heard the testimony of many witnesses. No transcript of witness testimony is readily available. In your deliberations you must rely on your individual and collective recollection as to all the proof, including the testimony of witnesses.

We will be sending with you to the jury room all of the exhibits in the case. You may have not seen all of these previously, and they will be there for your review and consideration.

You may take a break before you begin the case; however, you may not deliberate at any time unless all of you

are present together in the jury room.

2.2

Some of you have taken notes. I remind you that these are for your own individual use only and are to be used by you only to refresh your recollection about the case. They are not to be shown to others or otherwise used as a basis for your discussion about the case.

You will take the verdict form to the jury room, and when you have reached a unanimous agreement as to your verdict you will have your foreperson fill it in, date and sign it, and then return to the courtroom.

If during your deliberations you should desire to communicate with the Court, please reduce your message or question to writing signed by the foreperson and pass the note to the clerk, who will bring it to my attention. No member of the jury shall ever attempt to communicate with me by any means other than a signed writing. I will never communicate with any member of the jury on any subject touching on the merits of the case otherwise than in writing or orally here in open court. I will then respond as promptly as possible, either in writing or by having you return to the courtroom so that I can address you orally.

I caution you, however, with regard to any message or question you might send, that you are never to reveal to any person, not even to me, how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on the questions before you until you have

reached a unanimous verdict. 1 2 You will now retire and select one of you to be the 3 presiding juror for your deliberations. As soon as all of you 4 have agreed upon a verdict, you will return to this room to 5 report your verdict. 6 You may deliberate only when all of you are present 7 in the jury room. You may not resume your deliberations after 8 any breaks until all of you have returned to the jury room. 9 All right, Counsel, are there any objections to my 10 reading of those instructions? 11 MS. BREEDING: No objections from plaintiffs. 12 MR. SHIPE: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 All right, Ms. Camp, will you show the first six 15 jurors to the jury room and our two alternates to the other 16 room. 17 To those who will serve as alternates, be patient. 18 If we need you, we will tell you. But don't -- don't 19 deliberate or discuss the case while you're in the jury room. 20 All right. Ms. Camp. Thank you. 2.1 (The jury exited the courtroom, and the proceedings 2.2 continued as follows:) 23 THE COURT: All right. Is there any -- I caught 24 one -- I caught -- just we failed to take out some footnote 25 numbers on Page 19. I'm going to take those out. Did anybody

```
1
     else see any typos as we went through it?
 2
               MS. BREEDING: There was one typo I thought you had
 3
     caught that you --
 4
               THE COURT:
                           I thought it was a typo, and then
 5
    Mr. Goodman here has convinced me that it -- that there's not a
 6
     typo.
 7
               MS. BREEDING: Okay. I don't remember. I thought it
 8
     was a typo, but --
 9
               THE COURT: Yeah.
10
               MS. BREEDING: -- I trust Mr. Goodman.
11
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. We'll take out those footnote
              Okay.
12
     numbers.
13
               All right. Everybody stay close. You don't have to
14
     stay in the courthouse, but be within five or ten minutes,
15
     please. Make sure Ms. Camp has your cell phones, and we'll
16
     call you if we hear from the jury. They will, you know, take
17
     lunch, for example, whenever they want to. So we'll just let
18
     them pilot the ship until they need us. Okay? Anything else?
19
              MS. BREEDING: Your Honor, I --
20
               THE COURT: Yes.
21
               MS. BREEDING: My only question is, I don't know when
22
     you want to take up the motion to quash. I know I told Wayne
23
     Ritchie I would be in touch with him.
24
               THE COURT: Yeah. Well, let's -- give me -- not yet.
25
     That's the answer right now.
```

MS. BREEDING: No, that's fine. I just wanted to 1 2 give him an update. I just wanted to make sure I was in touch 3 with him. 4 THE COURT: Let me say one thing. I'm sure you've 5 talked to Ms. Camp about the JERS system for the exhibits. I 6 want to remind you it's the lawyers' responsibility to ensure 7 that the correct exhibits are loaded into the JERS system. 8 I know we agreed to have some redactions done. So if what's in 9 the JERS system is not the record, okay, I want everybody to 10 understand that, it's what -- it's the copy that the jury sees. 11 So if we send back into JERS the wrong exhibit or an exhibit 12 that's not redacted appropriately, that's what they're going to 13 see. And we're not going to redo this trial because somebody 14 missed something. So look at all -- everything that Ms. Camp 15 is about to send back and make sure it's the right version. If 16 there's disagreement, call me back, and we'll talk about it. 17 Okay? But it's your responsibility to make sure that's right. 18 All right. We're in recess. 19 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. SHIPE: 20 MS. BREEDING: Thank you. 2.1 (Recess for deliberations.) 2.2 END OF EXCERPT 23 24 25

1	I, Elizabeth B. Coffey, do hereby certify that I reported by mechanical stenography the proceedings held on this
2	date in the above-styled cause, and that this transcript, produced by computer, is an accurate record of said
proceedings.	
4	s/Elizabeth B. Coffey
5	Elizabeth B. Coffey,
6	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
7	Eastern District of Tennessee
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	